

Attachment to Independent Case Review Report
For CDRU # 7392 Case file # 95-233051.

Material Examiner: Malone (RO)

Remarks:

Case resulted in guilty plea. No testimony transcript..

CRM - 7217

INDEPENDENT CASE REVIEW REPORT

Independent Review conducted by: Steve Robertson

Area(s) of Expertise: Hair and Fibers

Review commenced at: 1:45 PM (Time), 11/06/01 (Date)

File #: 95-233051

Laboratory #(s): 90924050

Examiner(s) & Symbols

	Reviewed	Not Reviewed		Reviewed	Not Reviewed
RQ	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
MU	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Materials Reviewed

Trial testimony transcript(s) of: not available

Testimony Date(s): _____ Pages: _____

Laboratory Report(s):

Laboratory Number: 90924050 Date: Oct 19, 1979

Laboratory Number: _____ Date: _____

Laboratory Number: _____ Date: _____

Examiner Bench Notes of: RQ and unknown technician

Laboratory Number: 90924050

Initials: SRM

CRM - 7218

Was any other material reviewed? Yes No

If yes, please identify and/or describe the material: submitting agency letter dated 9-14-79

Results of Review

File #: 95-233051 Item or Specimen # Reviewed: Q1-Q5, Q9-Q14, Q16-Q18, K1-K4

Review of Laboratory Report(s) and Bench Notes:

Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses

- 1) Did the examiner perform the appropriate tests in a scientifically acceptable manner, based on the methods, protocols, and analytic techniques available at the time of the original examination(s)?
 Yes No Unable to Determine
- 2) Are the examination results set forth in the laboratory report(s) supported and adequately documented in the bench notes?
 Yes No Unable to Determine

Review of Testimony:

Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses

Transcript not available.

- 3) Testimony consistent with the laboratory report(s)? Yes No Unable to Determine
- 4) Testimony consistent with the bench notes? Yes No Unable to Determine
- 5) Testimony within bounds of examiner's expertise? Yes No Unable to Determine

Page 2 of 3

Initials: SUN

Comments

(Set forth by above question #, if applicable.
Use "Additional Comments" Sheet, if needed)

File #: 95-233051

#1: With microscopic hair comparison, one cannot determine from the notes that the examination was conducted in an appropriate manner.

#2: Documentation is poor. The notes are not dated and are in pencil and not ink. Abbreviations are used to describe the microscopic characteristics of the hair. These abbreviations are difficult to interpret. There is no documentation by the technician that hair was recovered from Q18 or other Q items as stated in the report.

Review completed at: 2:15 PM (Time), 11/06/01 (Date)

Total time spent conducting review (to nearest 1/4 hour): 0.50 hr.

I hereby certify that I conducted this review in an independent, unbiased manner and that the results of my review are fully documented on this report consisting of a total of 3 pages.

Steve Roberts
(Signature)

11/06/2001

(Date)

Page 3 of 3

Initials: *SR*